3rd Schatzalp Workshop on Induced Seismicity Davos, 5-7 March 2019

Insights from a closed-form solution for injection- and production-induced stresses in vertical displaced faults

Jan Dirk Jansen, Pranshu Singhal, Femke Vossepoel Delft University of Technology Department of Geoscience and Engineering





Science4Steer – towards operational control

- Aim: A scientific basis for developing production and reinjection strategies to minimize induced seismicity
- 5-year program at TU Delft and Utrecht University part of DeepNL
- Combined experimental and numerical approach



Science4Steer – WP 3; Lab Experiments

- Investigator: Auke Barnhoorn @ TU Delft
- Triaxial cell with 30 x 30 x 30 cm blocks
- Induced seismicity resulting from differential pressure and/or pressure decline in displaced faults



Science4Steer – WP 3; Lab Experiments

- Investigator: Auke Barnhoorn @ TU Delft
- Triaxial cell with 30 x 30 x 30 cm blocks
- Induced seismicity resulting from differential pressure and/or pressure decline in displaced faults



Science4Steer – WP 3; Lab Experiments

- Investigator: Auke Barnhoorn @ TU Delft
- Triaxial cell with 30 x 30 x 30 cm blocks
- Induced seismicity resulting from differential pressure and/or pressure decline in displaced faults





Earlier work – numerical

Roest & Kuilman 1994; Nagelhout & Roest, 1997; Mulders, 2013; Orlic & Wassing, 2013; Orlic et al. 2013; Van den Bogert, 2015; Lele et al. 2016; Zbinden et al. 2017; Bourne & Oates, 2017; Buijze et al., 2017; Van Wees et al., 2017, Haug et al, 2018; Buijze et al., 2019



Displaced normal fault model



Fault throw: t = b - aReservoir height: h = a + bReservoir width: w = c + dAlways overlap: t < h

Displaced (normal) fault model – simpler



Infinite, 2D domain Uniform elastic properties No transients Single-phase flow Straight, zero-width, non-sealing fault

Inclusion theory (1)

Elsheby,1957: cut and weld operation to simulate response to inelastic deformation (e.g. thermal strain, dislocations, pore pressure)



Total strains = elastic strains + eigen strains: $\epsilon_{ij} = e_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}^*$

For porous media:
$$\epsilon^*_{ij}\delta_{ij} = \frac{\epsilon^*}{3} = \frac{\alpha p}{3K}$$

Inclusion theory (2)

After several steps: $u_i(x, y) = D \iint_{\Omega} g_i(x, y, \zeta, \xi) \, d\Omega$, $\sigma_{ij}(x, y) = C \left[\iint_{\Omega} g_{ij}(x, y, \zeta, \xi) \, d\Omega - 2\pi \delta_{\Omega} \right]$

where
$$D(\zeta,\xi) = \frac{(1-2\nu)\alpha p}{2\pi(1-\nu)G}$$
, $C = GD$

and g_i and g_{ij} are Green's functions for u_i and σ_{ij} .

Segall (1985, 1989, 1992), Segal & Fitzgerald (1989), Segal et al. (1994), Soltanzadeh & Hawkes (2008), Marck et al. (2015)



Link to "nucleus of strain" concept: Rudnicki (2002)



With many thanks to Macsyma (open source symbolic manipulation tool)

Results for faulted infinite reservoir

$$G_{xx}(x,y) = \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(a+b)x}{x^2 + (y-b)(y+a)} \right]$$
$$- \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(a+b)x}{x^2 + (y-a)(y+b)} \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{yy}(x,y) &= \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y+a)}{-x} \right] - \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y-b)}{-x} \right] \\ &- \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y-a)}{x} \right] + \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y+b)}{x} \right] , \\ G_{xy}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\left[x^2 + (y-a)^2 \right] \left[x^2 + (y+a)^2 \right]}{[x^2 + (y-b)^2] \left[x^2 + (y+b)^2 \right]}. \end{aligned}$$



Reservoir width: infinite a = 100 m b = 200 mReservoir height h = a+b = 300 mFault throw t = b-a = 100 m

Scaled stresses



3rd Schatzalp Workshop on Induced Seismicity, Davos, 5-7 March 2019

Results for infinitely wide reservoir

$$G_{xx}(x,y) = \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(a+b)x}{x^2 + (y-b)(y+a)} \right]$$
$$- \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(a+b)x}{x^2 + (y-a)(y+b)} \right],$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{yy}(x,y) &= \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y+a)}{-x} \right] - \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y-b)}{-x} \right] \\ &- \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y-a)}{x} \right] + \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y+b)}{x} \right] , \\ G_{xy}(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\left[x^2 + (y-a)^2 \right] \left[x^2 + (y+a)^2 \right]}{\left[x^2 + (y+b)^2 \right]}. \end{aligned}$$

Results for infinitely wide reservoir

$$G_{xx}(x,y) = \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(a+b)x}{x^2 + (y-b)(y+a)} \right]$$
$$- \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(a+b)x}{x^2 + (y-a)(y+b)} \right],$$

$$G_{yy}(x,y) = \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y+a)}{-x} \right] - \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y-b)}{-x} \right]$$
$$- \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y-a)}{x} \right] + \arctan 2 \left[\frac{(y+b)}{x} \right],$$
$$G_{xy}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{\left[x^2 \notin (y-a)^2 \right] \left[x^2 \oplus (y+a)^2 \right]}{\left[x^2 \oplus (y-b)^2 \right] \left[x^2 \oplus (y+b)^2 \right]}.$$

Singularities at $y = \pm a$ and $y = \pm b$ (top and bottom of left/right reservoirs)



 $p^{0} = 35 \text{ MPa}$ p = 20 MPa $p^{tot} = 55 \text{ MPa}$





What's wrong?



Van Wees et al. 2017



SCU = 0.88 @ $\mu_{st} = 0.6$ Injection and production SCU = 1.05 @ $\mu_{dyn} = 0.5$ p = 0 MPa p = 0 MPa (E) 150

a) Stresses (injection) (MPa) b) Stresses (production) (MPa)





















Same result as derived by Van den Bogert (2015)





Excess shear force in fault ("shear glut")



Scaled vertical displacements



Seismic moment



Same result as derived by Bourne and Oates (2017)

Conclusions

Results from various earlier studies confirmed and sometimes sharpened, in particular from (Van den Bogert, 2015; Buijze et al., 2017; Van Wees et al., 2017; Zbinden et al. 2017; Buijze et al., 2019):

- Elastic stress patterns independent of p; only dependent on geometric reservoir parameters.
- Production results in peaked shear stress profile: slip-provoking at internal corners; slip arresting at external corners.
- Two slip patches in the production case grow mainly inwards at an exceedingly high rate with decreasing reservoir pressure.

Conclusions

Results from many earlier studies confirmed and sometimes sharpened, in particular from (Van den Bogert, 2015; Buijze et al., 2017; Van Wees et al., 2017; Zbinden et al. 2017; Buijze et al., 2019):

- Elastic stress patterns independent of p; only dependent on geometric reservoir parameters.
- Production produces peaked shear stress profile: slip-provoking at internal corners; slip arresting at external corners.
- Two slip patches in the production case grow mainly inwards at an exceedingly high rate with decreasing reservoir pressure.

New insights from the present study (at least to me \odot):

- Infinitely large shear stresses in a displaced fault => smallest amount of injection or production will result in slip; no such thing as a slip-free maximum injection or minimum production pressure.
- Marked difference between the shear stress patterns for injection and production: for injection, slip grows always into over/underburden; for production, slip could well stay in the reservoir.