#### **PSHA Workshop**

Future directions for probabilistic seismic hazard assessment at a local, national and transnational scale 5-7 September 2017, Switzerland

Site characterization, site effects and site amplification: Implication to the ongoing revision of EC8 Part1

## Kyriazis Pitilakis

Aristotle University Greece

Website: http://users.auth.gr/~kpitilak/ http://sdgee.civil.auth.gr







#### Contents

- Short introduction
- Past work in SHARE
- New AUTH proposal for site classification, amplification factors and design response spectra

Examples from Greece and Italy

- Aggravation factors to account for basin and valley effects
- ✓ Reference design motion in terms of UHS
- Importance of geotechnical descriptive parameters



#### Soil and site classification in EC8

		Ра	rameters	
Ground type	Description of stratigraphic profile	V <sub>s,30</sub> (m/s)	N <sub>SPT</sub>	<i>Su</i> (kPa)
А	Rock or other rock-like geological formation, including at most 5 m of weaker material at the surface.	>800	-	-
В	Deposits of very dense sand, gravel, or very stiff clay, at least several tens of meters in thickness, characterized by a gradual increase of mechanical properties with depth.	360-800	>50	>250
с	Deep deposits of dense or medium-dense sand, gravel or stiff clay with thickness from several tens to many hundreds of meters.	180-360	15-50	0-250
D	Deposits of loose-to-medium cohesionless soil (with or without some soft cohesive layers), or of predominantly soft-to-firm cohesive soil.	<180	<15	<70
E	A soil profile consisting of a surface alluvium layer with Vs values of type C or D and thickness varying between about 5 m and 20 m, underlain by stiffer material with Vs>800 m/s.			
S1	Deposits consisting, or containing a layer at least 10 m thick, of soft clays/silts with a spheric ticity index (PI>40) and high water content.	<100 (indicative)	-	10-20
S2	Deposits of liquefiable soils, of sector clays, or any other soil profile not included in types A – E or S1.			



#### Soil and site classification in EC8

The main classification parameter is Vs,30, which is the timebased average shear wave velocity of the top 30m. The use of Vs,30 as a unique parameters for SC is long debated and disputed. However the co-existence of other geotechnical parameters is act as a good counterbalance.

Based on Vs30, five main soil types A, B, C, D and E, and 2 special categories S1 and S2 are defined.

Unfortunately there are some recent ideas presented in Europe removing N-SPT and Su or other geotechnical parameters from the site classification, keeping only Vs (practically Vs,30) and an ambiguous evaluation of the depth to the seismic bedrock H800.



## Is $V_{s,30}$ appropriate for site – soil classification?

Yes, under certain conditions. For example very shallow and very deep, rather soft soil profiles or soil profiles having an inversed rigidity should be excluded of the use of  $V_{s,30}$ 

Soil and site classification should be based on a appropriate geotechnical – geological description including the depth to the seismic bedrock (Vs>800m/s) and several geotechnical parameters like SPT, CPT, Su, PI, Dr(%). Removing geotechnical description for the EC8 main part of the site classification is a serious shortcoming.

In any case a very useful parameter to describe the site amplification particularly in low to medium seismic intensities (nearly linear elastic range of ground response) is the fundamental period To of the site



## Vs Measurements: (Methods-data?)

Poor and loose data distribution for hard-rock conditions and PGA>0.20g The bulk of the data are within 200 m/s  $\leq V_{s_{30}} \leq$  700 m/s and PGA<0.20g



A Sandıkkaya,\*S.Akkar, & P-Y Bard, 2013, "A Nonlinear Site-Amplification Model for the Next Pan-European Ground-Motion Prediction Equations"



#### Amplification factors and elastic response spectra in EC8

Ground Type	S	T <sub>B</sub> (s)	T <sub>c</sub> (s)	T <sub>D</sub> (s)
А	1.00	0.15	0.40	2.00
В	1.20	0.15	0.50	2.00
С	1.15	0.20	0.60	2.00
D	1.35	0.20	0.80	2.00
E	1.40	0.15	0.50	2.00
	Type 2 Sp	pectrum - N	/I <sub>s</sub> ≤5.5	
Ground Type	S	$T_{\rm c}$	τ (α)	T (a)
	5	r <sub>B</sub> (3)	1 <sub>C</sub> (S)	1 <sub>D</sub> (S)
A	1.00	0.05	0.25	1.20
A B	1.00 1.35	0.05 0.05	0.25 0.25	1.20 1.20
A B C	1.00 1.35 1.50	0.05 0.10	0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20
A B C D	1.00 1.35 1.50 1.80	0.05 0.10 0.10	0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.30	1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20

#### Type 1 Spectrum - M<sub>s</sub>>5.5



#### Needs for revision

Site classification and amplification factors are based on very few data (available almost 20-25 years ago!) and should be at least upgraded and adapted to the acquired numerous new data, rich scientific knowledge and the exponential increase of available strong motion records in Europe and worldwide.

Instead of having two seismicity regions i.e. Mw<5.5 and M>5.5 is probably better to propose amplification factors for increasing ground motion intensity for example PGA steps of 0.1g as in NEHERP

 Instead of anchoring the design response spectra to PGA (T=0sec) should be better to anchor to two spectral parameters Ss at 0.1-0.2sec and S1 at 1.0sec



#### Past work in SHARE

## Validation of the present amplification factors in EC8

#### &

## New site-soil classification scheme, amplification factors and design response spectra

# keeping the present seismicity categorization (Type 1 and 2)



#### **Related publications**

- **Pitilakis K., Riga E., Anastasiadis A., 2013**, "New code site classification, amplification factors and normalized response spectra based on a worldwide ground-motion database", Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 11, 4, 925-966, DOI: 10.1007/s10518-013-9440-9.
- **Pitilakis K., Riga E., Anastasiadis A., 2012**, "Design spectra and amplification factors for Eurocode 8", Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, 10, 5, 1377-1400, DOI: 10.1007/s10518-012-9367-6.



#### Data selection

- Proposal of a new soil-site classification scheme, amplification factors and design spectra:
  - SHARE-AUTH database (Pitilakis et al., 2013)
  - 3,666 records from 536 stations from Greece, Italy, Turkey, Japan and USA with a well-documented soil profile to the 'seismic' bedrock (V<sub>s</sub>>800m/s)
  - For all sites: H<sub>bedrock</sub>, V<sub>s,average</sub>, V<sub>s,30</sub>, T<sub>0</sub>
  - Dataset:  $M_s \ge 4$ ,  $T_{usable} \ge 2.5$  sec and PGA  $\ge 20$  cm/s<sup>2</sup>



#### Improved Soil Factors for EC8 soil classification

Approach 1 (Choi & Stewart, 2005)



#### New site – soil classification scheme

Exclusively based on experimental data from the SHARE –AUTH database (Pitilakis et al., 2013)

Main parameters:

#### $\succ$ Fundamental period of soil deposit T<sub>0</sub>

- Average shear wave velocity of the entire soil deposit V<sub>s,av</sub>
- Thickness of soil deposit H to the "seismic" bedrock
- ≻N-SPT, PI, S<sub>u</sub>
- More detailed geotechnical soil description and categorization



## Soil classification scheme by Pitilakis et al. (2013)

	Description	T <sub>0</sub>	Remarks
C1	Soil formations of dense to very dense sand – sand gravel and/or stiff to very stiff clay, of great thickness (> 60.0m), whose mechanical properties and strength are constant and/or increase with depth	≤ 1.5s	V <sub>s,av</sub> : 400-800 m/s N -SPT> 50 Su > 200 KPa
C2	Soil formations of medium dense sand – sand gravel and/or medium stiffness clay (PI > 15, fines percentage > 30%) of medium thickness (20.0 – 60.0m)	≤ 1.5s	V <sub>s,av</sub> : 200-450 m/s N -SPT> 20 Su > 70 KPa
С3	Category C2 soil formations of great thickness (>60.0 m), homogenous or stratified that are not interrupted by any other soil formation with a thickness of more than 5.0m and of lower strength and Vs velocity	≤ 1.8s	V <sub>s,av</sub> :200-450 m/s N-SPT > 20 Su > 70 Kpa



#### New site – soil classification scheme





#### New site – soil classification scheme and amplification factors

- Same logic tree approach as for EC8
- ▶ Dataset DS4 from SHARE-AUTH database :  $M_s \ge 4$ ,  $T_{usable} \ge 2.5$  sec and PGA  $\ge 20$  cm/s<sup>2</sup>

Soil			Type 2 (M <sub>s</sub>	≤5.5)				Type 1 (M <sub>s</sub>	>5.5)	
Class	Ap. 1	Ap. 2	Weighted Average	NEW	EC8	Ap. 1	Ap. 2	Weighted Average	NEW	EC8
B1	1.28	0.99	1.13	1.20	1.35	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.10	1.20
B2	1.89	1.17	1.53	1.50	<b>(B)</b>	1.36	1.28	1.32	1.30	<b>(</b> B <b>)</b>
C1	2.02	1.46	1.74	1.80		2.19	1.27	1.73	1.70	
C2	2.08	1.39	1.74	1.70	1.50 (C)	1.35	1.15	1.25	1.30	1.15 (C)
C3	2.59	1.61	2.10	2.10		1.57	1.07	1.32	1.30	
D	2.19	2.26	2.23	<b>2.00</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.80	2.03	1.79	1.91	<b>1.80</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.35
E	1.54	1.30	1.42	<b>1.60</b> ª	1.60	1.10	0.94	1.02	<b>1.40</b> <sup>a</sup>	1.40

<sup>a</sup> Site specific ground response analysis required

SDGEE Research Unit of Soil Dynamics and Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering Pitilakis et al. (2013)

#### New site – soil classification scheme

Elastic acceleration response spectra (5%)



Pitilakis et al. (2013)



## New site classification, amplification factors and design response spectra

## Considering Two anchoring spectral parameters Ss and S1 & Scalar increase of seismic intensity



#### SHARE-AUTH database

• 3,666 records from 536 stations from Greece, Italy, Turkey, Japan and USA with a well-documented soil profile up to the seismic bedrock (Vs>800m/s)



Pitilakis et al. (2013)







20











#### New proposal for site – soil classification scheme

	Description	Τ <sub>o</sub>	Remarks	
C1	Soil formations of dense sand – sand gravel and/or stiff clay, of great thickness (> 60.0m), whose mechanical properties and strength are constant and/or increase with depth <b>H&gt;60m</b>	0.6-1.0s ≤ 1.0s	V <sub>s,av</sub> : 400-600 m/s V <sub>s30</sub> :350-450 m/s N -SPT> 50 S <sub>u</sub> > 150 KPa	C1
C2	Soil formations of medium dense sand – sand gravel and/or medium stiffness clay (PI > 15, fines percentage > 30%) <b>20m <h< 60m<="" b=""></h<></b>	0.3-0.7s ≤ 0.8s	V <sub>s,av</sub> : 250-450 m/s V <sub>s30</sub> :250-400 m/s N -SPT> 20 150KPa> S <sub>u</sub> > 70 KPa	C2
С3	Like C2 but with great thickness <b>H&gt;60m</b>	0.7-1.4s ≤ 1.4s	V <sub>s,av</sub> : 300-500 m/s V <sub>s30</sub> :200-350 m/s N -SPT> 20 150KPa > S <sub>u</sub> > 70 KPa	С3



The seismic hazard is described in terms of two parameters, namely:

- S<sub>s,ref</sub> the reference maximum spectral acceleration, corresponding to the constant acceleration branch of the horizontal 5% damped elastic response spectrum
- S<sub>1,ref</sub> the reference spectral acceleration at the vibration period T = 1s of the horizontal 5% damped elastic response spectrum

 $S_{s,ref}$  and  $S_{1,ref}$  are given for the reference return period for example 475y or 10% probability of exceedence in 50 years



## Soil amplification factors

• The soil factors proposed by Pitilakis et al. (2013) were properly adjusted to include the nonlinear term developed by Seyhan and Stewart (2014) and adopted in the Boore et al. (2014) GMPE:

$$F_{S,B} = \ln(F_{lin}) + \ln(F_{nl})$$
  

$$\ln(F_{nl}) = f_1 + f_2 \ln\left(\frac{PGA_p + f_3}{f_3}\right)$$
  

$$f_2 = f_4 \left[\exp\left\{f_5\left(\min(V_{S30}, 760) - 360\right)\right\} - \exp\left\{f_5\left(760 - 360\right)\right\}\right]$$

 $f_1=0, f_3=0.1, f_4, f_5=$ period-dependent constant values

- Site amplification factors  $F_s$  and  $F_1$  are proposed for the different site classes and for distinct values of  $S_{sRP}$  at rock site conditions representing very low-low, medium and high seismicity ( $S_{sRP}$ =PGA<sub>r</sub>•F<sub>0</sub>).
- Nonlinear terms are estimated for increasing  $S_{sRP}$  values using the properly estimated GMPE coefficients for short ( $F_s$ ) and intermediate period (T=1s) ( $F_1$ )

### Horizontal elastic response spectrum

Recommended values for seismic hazard parameters defining the elastic response spectrum

T <sub>A</sub> (s)	к (*)	F <sub>0</sub> (**)	T <sub>D</sub> (s)
0,03	5.0	2.5	$\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \text{if } S_{1RP} \leq 0,1g \\ 1+10 \cdot S_{1RP} & \text{if } S_{1RP} > 0,1g \end{array}$

 $F_0$  may take higher or lower values e.g. 2.75 for site categories E in particular of low seismicity regions or 2.30 for very soft soils with considerable thickness.

The spectral accelerations  $S_s$  and  $S_1$  are defined as follows:

 $S_{s} = F_{T} \times F_{B} \times F_{s} \times S_{sRP}$  $S_{1} = F_{T} \times F_{B} \times F_{1} \times S_{1RP}$ 

where

 $\mathbf{F}_{\mathsf{T}}$ 

 $F_{B}$ 

- F<sub>s</sub> short period site amplification factor
- $F_1$  intermediate period (T1 = 1 s) site amplification factor
  - topography amplification factor
    - basin (or valley) period dependent amplification factor (see next)

#### New Soil Amplification Factors

#### **Fs Factors**

	S <sub>sRP</sub> maximui	S <sub>sRP</sub> maximum response spectral acceleration at short period on site class A in g <sup>a</sup>							
Site class	S <sub>sRP</sub> <0.25 ( <sup>c</sup> )	S <sub>sRP</sub> =0.25	<i>S<sub>sRP</sub></i> =0.5	<i>S<sub>sRP</sub></i> =0.75	<i>S<sub>sRP</sub></i> =1.0	<i>S<sub>sRP</sub></i> ≥1.25			
А	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			
B1	1.30	1.30	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20			
B2	1.40	1.30	1.30	1.20	1.10	1.10			
C1	1.70	1.60	1.40	1.30	1.30	1.20			
C2	1.60	1.50	1.30	1.20	1.10	1.00			
C3	1.80	1.60	1.40	1.20	1.10	1.00			
D <sup>b</sup>	2.20	1.90	1.60	1.40	1.20	1.00			
E <sup>b</sup>	1.70	1.60	1.60	1.50	1.50	1.50			
Xp	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>a</sup> Use straight line interpolation for intermediate values of  $S_{sRP}$ .

<sup>b</sup> Site-specific geotechnical investigation and dynamic site response analyses shall be performed under certain conditions

<sup>c</sup> Dynamic soil response practically in the elastic range



#### New Soil Amplification Factors

#### **F**<sub>1</sub> **Factors**

Cita ala sa	S <sub>sRP</sub> maximu	S <sub>sRP</sub> maximum response spectral acceleration at short period on site class A in g <sup>a</sup>								
Site class	S <sub>sRP</sub> <0.25 <sup>(c)</sup>	<i>S<sub>sRP</sub></i> =0.25	S <sub>sRP</sub> =0.5	S <sub>sRP</sub> =0.75	$S_{_{SRP}} = 1.0$	<i>S<sub>sRP</sub></i> ≥1.25				
А	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
B1	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.30	1.30				
B2	1.60	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.40	1.30				
C1	1.70	1.60	1.50	1.50	1.40	1.30				
C2	2.10	2.00	1.90	1.80	1.80	1.70				
C3	3.20	3.00	2.70	2.50	2.40	2.30				
Db	4.10	3.80	3.30	3.00	2.80	2.70				
Ep	1.30	1.30	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20				
Xp	-	-	-	-	_	-				

<sup>a</sup> Use straight line interpolation for intermediate values of  $S_{sRP}$ .

<sup>b</sup> Site-specific geotechnical investigation and dynamic site response analyses shall be performed under conditions defined in this document

<sup>c</sup> Dynamic soil response practically in the elastic range



#### Elastic response spectra





# Examples from selected stations in Italy and Greece where the new AUTH\_2017 proposal is applied

Selected stations with sufficiently good geotechnical information and available records at the ground surface (M>5).

Bedrock motions are estimated either from 1D deconvolution analysis of the available records or using appropriate GMPE for outcrop conditions



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Chalandri	L	Athens Long	07-09-1999	5.9	18.00	0.110
	Т	Athens Trans	11:56:50			0.092



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Kalamata	L	Kalamata main event Long	13-09-1986	5.9	11.00	0.240
Prefecture	Т	Kalamata main event Trans	17:24:35			0.272



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Kozani	L	Kozani Long	13-05-1995	6.5	17.00	0.212
Prefecture	Т	Kozani Trans	08:47:15			0.142



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	Mw	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Argostoli	L	Argostoli Long	23-03-1983	6.2	18.00	0.182
Telecomm. building	Т	Argostoli Trans	23:51:05			0.235



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Lefkada	L	Lefkada Long	25-02-1994	5.4	15.00	0.135
Hospital	Т	Lefkada Trans	02:30:49			0.136



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Brienza (BRN) L		IRPINIA Long	23-11-1980	6.9	42.60	0.148
	Т	IRPINIA Trans	18:34:53			0.181



Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Calitri (CLT)	Calitri (CLT) L IRPINIA Long		23-11-1980	6.9	18.90	0.146
	Т	IRPINIA Trans	18:34:53			0.132







Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
MATELICA	L	UMBRIA MARCHE 2 <sup>ND</sup> SHOCK Long	26-09-1997	6.0	27.00	0.108
(MTL)	Т	UMBRIA MARCHE 2 <sup>ND</sup> SHOCK Trans	09:40:24			0.116



40

Station Name Record		Earthquake name Date		M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
L'AQUILA - V. ATERNO -	L	CENTRAL ITALY Long	07-04-2009	5.5	14.30	0.151
CENTRO VALLE (AQV)	Т	CENTRAL ITALY Trans	17:47:37			0.096

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	Mw	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
BUIA (BUI)	L	FRIULI 2 <sup>ND</sup> SHOCK Long	15-09-1976	5.9	11.20	0.093
	Т	FRIULI 2 <sup>ND</sup> SHOCK Trans	03:15:18			0.111

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
BUIA (BUI)	L	FRIULI 3 <sup>RD</sup> SHOCK Long	15-09-1976	6.0	10.80	0.089
	Т	FRIULI 3 <sup>RD</sup> SHOCK Trans	09:21:18			0.081

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Normalized Response Spectra

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

Aggravation factors to account for basin and valley effects

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Related publication

- Riga E., Makra K., Pitilakis K., 2016, "Aggravation factors for seismic response of sedimentary basins: A code-oriented parametric study", Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Special Issue of Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics (SOILDYN); Invited Paper at the 6th International Conference on Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering (6ICEGE), Christchurch, New Zealand, 2-4 November 2015, vol. 91, pp. 116-132, DOI: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2016.09.048.
- **Riga E., Makra K., Pitilakis K., 2017**, "Investigation of the effects of sediments inhomogeneity and nonlinearity on aggravation factors for sedimentary basins. Submitted to Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering (SOILDYN); **Special Issue: Site effects and seismic codes.**

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Parametric analyses

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Aggravation factors

The additional effect of the 2D response at different locations at the surface of the basin with respect to the corresponding 1D response of the isolated soil columns in each location is quantified through a period-dependent seismic aggravation factors (AGF):

 $AGF(T) = \frac{\text{Spectral acceleration from 2D analysis}}{\text{Spectral acceleration from 1D analysis}}$ 

Chávez-García and Faccioli (2004)

- A period-dependent aggravation factor is computed at each receiver for each model and each input.
- For each model, the average period-dependent aggravation factor is calculated from the 9 accelerograms at each receiver.
- The maximum value of the average period-dependent aggravation factor at each receiver is identified.

![](_page_50_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

> Increase of thickness  $\rightarrow$  higher AGF, especially for sediments with low Vs

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

Influence of basin width (w)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\succ$  Increase of width  $\rightarrow$  smaller AGF at the center of the basin

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Effect of soil nonlinearity

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

affect the estimated aggravation factor significantly (small decrease of AGF far from the basin edge and minor increase close to the basin edge

## Towards practical recommendations: Spatial distribution of AGF

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

Symmetrical models

Region d1 of model w1h3a1Vs1 w=2500m h=250m, a1=a2=20° Vs=250m/s

T<sub>0,c</sub>=4h/Vs 1D fundamental period at the flat part of the basin

#### Preliminary recommendations for EC8

max AGF	(Riga et al.	(2016))
---------	--------------	---------

T <sub>0.c</sub>		Region a1	Region b1	Region c1	Region d1	Region e1
Sallow basins	median	1.00	0.80	1.20	1.10	1.10
T <sub>0,c</sub> <3.0s	84 <sup>th</sup>	1.00	1.20	1.30	1.30	1.20
Deep basins	median	1.10	1.20	1.50	1.50	1.90
T <sub>0,c</sub> ≥3.0s	84 <sup>th</sup>	2.00	1.40	1.70	1.90	2.30

For strong seismic excitations, only a small decrease of AGF of about 10% is expected at the flat part of the basin (regions c1, d1 and e1) (Riga et al., 2017).

![](_page_56_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Towards practical recommendations for EC8

#### **Region d1** of model **w1h3a1Vs1** (w=2500m, h=250m, a1=a2=20°, Vs=250m/s)

![](_page_57_Figure_2.jpeg)

 Short-period average for periods less than 0.75T<sub>0,c</sub>:

**AGF**<sub>s</sub>=1.2

Long-period average for periods between 0.75T<sub>0,c</sub>-1.50T<sub>0,c</sub>:

AGF<sub>L</sub>=1.6

![](_page_57_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Preliminary recommendations for EC8

#### Short-period AGF<sub>s</sub>

T <sub>0.c</sub>		Region a1	Region b1	Region c1	Region d1	Region e1
Sallow basins	median	0.80	0.70	1.10	1.05	1.00
T <sub>0</sub> <3.0s	84 <sup>th</sup>	0.90	1.00	1.15	1.10	1.10
Deep basins	median	0.80	0.70	1.10	1.10	1.15
T <sub>0.c</sub> ≥3.0s	84 <sup>th</sup>	0.90	1.05	1.20	1.15	1.25

#### Long-period AGF<sub>L</sub>

T <sub>0,c</sub>		Region a1	Region b1	Region c1	Region d1	Region e1
Shallow basins	median	1.00	0.70	1.00	1.05	1.05
T <sub>0.c</sub> <3.0s	84 <sup>th</sup>	1.05	0.90	1.05	1.15	1.15
Deep basins	median	0.90	0.85	1.10	1.30	1.40
T <sub>0.c</sub> ≥3.0s	84 <sup>th</sup>	1.60	1.10	1.30	1.50	1.80

![](_page_58_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### UHS

#### (to use them or not?)

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Seismic Hazard Map (PGA) : Bedrock Vs>800m/s

#### Return Period = 475 years

![](_page_60_Figure_2.jpeg)

### UHS (SHARE)

Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	M <sub>w</sub>	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]
Brienza (BRN)	L	IRPINIA Long	23-11-1980	6.9	42.60	0.148
	Т	IRPINIA Trans	18:34:53			0.181

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### UHS (SHARE)

	Station Name	Record	Earthquake name	Date	Mw	R epi. [km]	PGA [g]	
	Calitri (CLT)	L	IRPINIA Long	23-11-1980	6.9	18.90	0.146	
		Т	IRPINIA Trans	18:34:53			0.132	
1.4 1.1 3.0 <b>(0</b> 0.0 2.0 0.2		Record L AUTH 2017 AUTH 2017 AUTH 2017	(B2) - Deconvolution (B2) - SHARE 475 years (B2) - SHARE 975 years	1.4 1.2 1.2 1.2 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Record T AUTH 2017 (B2) - E AUTH 2017 (B2) - S AUTH 2017 (B2) - S AUTH 2017 (B2) - S T (sec)	Deconvolution SHARE 475 ye SHARE 975 ye 3	n ears ears

#### Geotechnical descriptive parameters

(to use them or not?)

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **1D Theoretical NL Amplification : Site C**

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Two representative soil models : EC8 – Class C
   Medium Density Sand and Clay (PI=30)
- Vs,30: 260m/s
- To=0.45 s
- fo=2.2 Hz

#### **1D Theoretical NL Amplification : Site C**

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **1D Theoretical NL Amplification : Site C**

Response Spectra at Surface

![](_page_66_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

## NL soil amplification is strongly depended on the soil type and properties which cannot be described only through Vs,30

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### 16TH EUROPEAN CONFERENCE ON EARTHQUAKE THESSAL©NIKI ENGINEERING 18 - 21 JUNE 2018 WWW.16ecee.org

![](_page_68_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### 4+ full days:

Prof. N. Ambraseys Distinguished Lecture 30 Keynote & Theme Lectures

more than 2000 submitted abstracts, Special & Technical Sessions,

Technical committee meetings, Exhibition, Pre & Post Conference Tours

#### Looking forward to welcoming you to Thessaloniki in June 2018

Abstract Submission Deadline Extended: 30 June 2017 Paper Notification of Acceptance: 31 January 2018 Abstract Notification of Acceptance: 20 July 2017 Final Paper Submission 28 February 2018

Full Paper Submission: 30 November 2017 Early Bird Registration: 10 April 2018